

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,657	02/25/2002	Ricky W. Kitchell	01017CIP	9489
7590 11/15/2004			EXAMINER	
Martha Ann Finnegan, Esq.			ROSENBAUM, MARK	
Cabot Corporat	ion			
157 Concord Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Billerica, MA 01821-7001			3725	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/083,657	KITCHELL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark Rosenbaum	3725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23.	August 2004.				
	is action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-14,23 and 25-29 is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-22 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correction	is/are withdrawn from consideration for election requirement. her. herecepted or b) objected to by the led to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the led to be drawing(s) is objected to by the led to be drawing(s) to be held in abeyance.	Examiner. e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	0 □ Interview 0 manage	(DTO 442)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/16/02 and 10/31/. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II in the reply filed on 8/23/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no search burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because each of the groups' subject matter requires additional searches beyond the other Groups' search.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-14,23,25-29 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 8/23/04.

Claim Objections

Claim 21 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. It appears that claim 21 claims the same subject matter as that of claim 18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,657

Art Unit: 3725

Claims 15-22,24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art (APA) in view of either Atkins or the British patent. APA is the subject matter discussed on the first few pages of the specification i.e. the process of milling niobium material. Single size media are used to mill the material which is an inefficient milling process. Both Atkins and the British patent solve this problem by disclosing a similar process including the use of different sized media. In order to efficiently mill the material, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify APA by providing different sized media, taught to be desirable by both of the secondary references. The exact size of the media would then have been an obvious design choice only based on several factors such as material being treated and desired end results.

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 703-308-1788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Ostrager can be reached on 703-308-3136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,657 Page 4

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Rosenbaum Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

MR